

JAMES R. SIGEL (CA SBN 288478)  
JamesSigel@dwt.com  
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP  
50 California Street, 23<sup>rd</sup> Floor  
San Francisco, California 94111-4701  
Telephone: (415) 276-6500

*Attorney for Respondent Apple Inc.*

*[Additional counsel listed on signature page]*

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION**

Pursuant to Local Civil Rules 6-2 and 7-12, applicants Consumers' Association ("Which") and Qualcomm Incorporated ("Qualcomm") and respondents Apple Inc. ("Apple"), Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. ("SSI"), and Samsung, Electronics America, Inc. ("SEA," and together with SSI, the "Samsung Entities") hereby stipulate as follows:

WHEREAS, on December 7, 2023, Which commenced the above-captioned miscellaneous proceeding (the “Which 1782 Proceeding”) seeking discovery pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1782 (“Section 1782”) from Apple, as well as the Samsung Entities, in connection with a lawsuit (the “U.K. Action”) that Which commenced against Qualcomm before the Competition Appeal Tribunal of the United Kingdom, *see* Dkt. No. 1;<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> References to a “Dkt. No.” refer to the ECF numbers in the above-captioned, consolidated

1        WHEREAS, on January 23, 2024, the Court entered an *ex parte* order in the Which 1782  
2 Proceeding authorizing Which to serve subpoenas on Apple and the Samsung Entities, without  
3 prejudice to any argument that may be raised in a motion to quash, and requiring Apple and the  
4 Samsung Entities to file any such motion within 30 days of service or notice of the subpoenas and  
5 this Court's January 23, 2024 order, *see* Dkt. No. 21 at 7;

6        WHEREAS on January 25, 2024, Apple received a copy of Which's subpoena and this  
7 Court's January 23, 2024 order;

8        WHEREAS, on January 29, 2024, SSI received, but was not served with, a copy of Which's  
9 subpoena and SEA was served with Which's subpoena on February 2, 2024;

10      WHEREAS SSI served written objections to Which's aforementioned subpoena on  
11 February 12, 2024, and SEA served written objections to Which's aforementioned subpoena on  
12 February 13, 2024;

13      WHEREAS, on February 23, 2024, Which served SSI with Which's subpoena, and also  
14 re-served SEA with Which's subpoena;

15      WHEREAS SSI and SEA served amended written objections and responses to Which's  
16 subpoenas on March 8, 2024;

17      WHEREAS, on January 26, 2024, Qualcomm commenced a separate miscellaneous  
18 proceeding captioned *In re Ex Parte Application of: Qualcomm Incorporated*, No. 5:24-mc-80019  
19 (N.D. Cal) (the "Qualcomm 1782 Proceeding" and, with the Which 1782 Proceeding, the "1782  
20 Proceedings") seeking discovery pursuant to Section 1782 from Apple and the Samsung Entities  
21 in connection with the U.K. Action, *see* Qualcomm Dkt. No. 1;

22      WHEREAS, on February 7, 2024, the Court entered an order relating the 1782 Proceedings  
23 pursuant to Local Civil Rules 3-12 and 7-11, *see* Dkt. No. 24; Qualcomm Dkt. No. 13;

24      WHEREAS, on February 9, 2024, this Court entered an *ex parte* order in the Qualcomm  
25 1782 Proceeding authorizing Qualcomm to serve subpoenas on Apple and the Samsung Entities,

26  
27 Which 1782 Proceeding. References to a "Qualcomm Dkt. No." refer to the ECF numbers in the  
Qualcomm 1782 Proceeding, as defined herein.

1 without prejudice to any argument that may be raised in a motion to quash, and requiring Apple  
2 and the Samsung Entities to file any such motion within 30 days of service or notice of the  
3 subpoena and this Court's February 9, 2024 order, *see* Qualcomm Dkt. No. 14 at 6;

4 WHEREAS, on February 15, 2024, Qualcomm served a subpoena and a copy of this  
5 Court's February 9, 2024 order on Apple;

6 WHEREAS, on February 16, 2024, Qualcomm served subpoenas on SSI and SEA, along  
7 with a copy of this Court's February 9, 2024 order;

8 WHEREAS SSI and SEA served written objections to Qualcomm's subpoenas on March  
9 1, 2024;

10 WHEREAS, on February 16, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in each of the 1782  
11 Proceedings setting April 3, 2024 as the deadline for the Samsung Entities to move to quash the  
12 subpoenas that Which and Qualcomm were authorized to serve on them, *see* Dkt. No. 30;  
13 Qualcomm Dkt. No. 18;

14 WHEREAS, on February 21, 2024, this Court entered an order in each of the 1782  
15 Proceedings granting Apple's motion to stay the deadline for Apple to respond to the subpoena  
16 that Which delivered to Apple, and ordering that Apple "need not move to quash, respond to or  
17 comply with" that subpoena "until April 3, 2024," *see* Dkt. No. 32; Qualcomm Dkt. No. 20;

18 WHEREAS, on March 15, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the Qualcomm  
19 1782 Proceeding setting April 3, 2024 as the deadline for Apple to move to quash or respond to  
20 the subpoena that Qualcomm served on Apple, *see* Qualcomm Dkt. No. 22;

21 WHEREAS, on March 28, 2024, this Court consolidated the 1782 Proceedings pursuant to  
22 Rule 42(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and ordered that all papers to be filed in the  
23 consolidated actions shall be filed in the above captioned-miscellaneous proceeding;

24 WHEREAS, on April 1, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated  
25 1782 Proceedings setting April 24, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to  
26 move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt.  
27 No. 38;

1        WHEREAS, on April 19, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated  
2 1782 Proceedings setting May 15, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to  
3 move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt.  
4 No. 40;

5        WHEREAS, on May 13, 2024, this Court entered a stipulated order in the consolidated  
6 1782 Proceedings setting June 5, 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move  
7 to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and Qualcomm, *see* Dkt. No. 42;

8        WHEREAS, since May 13, 2024, Which, Qualcomm, Apple and the Samsung Entities  
9 have continued to meet and confer in good faith;

10      WHEREAS, on May 29, 2024, Which agreed to Apple's request to extend the deadline to  
11 move to quash or respond to Which's subpoena, so as to continue exploring whether a compromise  
12 can be reached that would obviate the need for a motion to quash, and, thereafter, Qualcomm and  
13 the Samsung Entities also agreed that such extension would help all parties to continue exploring  
14 whether such a compromise can be reached; and

15      WHEREAS, Which, Qualcomm, Apple, and the Samsung Entities wish to set June 20,  
16 2024 as the deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to Which's  
17 and Qualcomm's subpoenas, thereby aligning all parties' motion-to-quash deadlines in the 1782  
18 Proceedings and thereby allowing an opportunity for efficient briefing of any motions to quash.

19      NOW THEREFORE, WHICH, QUALCOMM, APPLE, AND THE SAMSUNG  
20 ENTITIES HEREBY STIPULATE, subject to the approval of this Court, that June 20, 2024 is the  
21 deadline for Apple and the Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas that  
22 Which and Qualcomm served on Apple and the Samsung Entities in connection with the 1782  
23

24

25

26

27

28

1 Proceedings. The timing and procedures set forth in Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 shall  
2 otherwise apply.<sup>2, 3</sup>

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

---

23       <sup>2</sup> To clarify Which's position in an ongoing dispute between Which and the Samsung  
24 Entities, Which does not hold the view that the Court's deadline for the motion to quash is obviated  
25 by any non-party serving objections to the subpoena. The parties may seek a clarification from the  
26 Court, if necessary.

27       <sup>3</sup> The Samsung Entities do not understand the Court's orders or the parties' stipulations  
28 (which specifically include the phrase "[t]he timing and procedures set forth in Federal Rule of  
Civil Procedure 45 shall otherwise apply") to require a motion to quash in order to preserve  
objections if a subpoena recipient timely served written objections under Rule 45, and view these  
stipulations as merely preserving the option of filing a motion to quash.

1 DATED: June 4, 2024

Respectfully submitted

2 /s/ James R. Sigel

3 James R. Sigel (Cal. Bar. No. 288478)  
4 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP  
5 50 California Street, 23rd Floor  
6 San Francisco, California 94111-4701  
Telephone: (415) 276-6500  
E-mail: JamesSigel@dwt.com

7 *Attorney for Respondent Apple Inc.*

8 /s/ Leo D. Caseria

9 Leo D. Caseria (Cal. Bar. No. 240323)  
10 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON  
11 LLP  
12 333 South Hope Street, 43rd Floor  
13 Los Angeles, CA 90071  
Telephone: (213) 620-1780  
Facsimile: (213) 620-1398  
E-mail: lcaseria@sheppardmullin.com

14 Mona Solouki (Cal. Bar. No. 215145)  
15 SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON  
16 LLP  
17 Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor  
18 San Francisco, California 94111  
Telephone: (415) 434-9100  
Facsimile: (415) 434-3947  
E-mail: msolouki@sheppardmullin.com

19 *Attorneys for Respondents Samsung Semiconductor,  
20 Inc. and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.*

22 /s/ Samuel Maida

23 Samuel Maida (Cal. Bar. No. 333835)  
24 HAUSFELD LLP  
25 600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200  
26 San Francisco, CA 94111  
Tel: (415) 633-1908  
Fax: (415) 358-4980  
E-mail: smaida@hausfeld.com

1  
2 Reena A. Gambhir (*pro hac vice*)  
3 Mary Sameera Van Houten Harper (*pro hac vice*)  
4 HAUSFELD LLP  
5 888 16<sup>th</sup> Street NW, Suite 300  
6 Washington, D.C. 20006  
7 Telephone: (202) 540-7200  
8 Facsimile: (202) 540-7201  
9 E-mail: rgambhir@hausfeld.com  
10 E-mail: mvanhouten@hausfeld.com

11 *Attorneys for Petitioner Consumers' Association*

12  
13 /s/ Katherine G. Connolly  
14 Katherine G. Connolly (CA SBN 313640)  
15 katie.connolly@nortonrosefulbright.com  
16 NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP  
17 555 California Street, Suite 3300  
18 San Francisco, California 94104  
19 Telephone: (628) 231-6800  
20 Facsimile: (628) 231-6799

21  
22 Richard S. Zembek (*pro hac vice*)  
23 richard.zembek@nortonrosefulbright.com  
24 NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP  
25 1301 McKinney Street, Suite 5100  
26 Houston, Texas 77010  
27 Telephone: (713) 651-5151  
28 Facsimile: (713) 651-5246

29  
30 Felice Galant (*pro hac vice*)  
31 felice.galant@nortonrosefulbright.com  
32 NORTON ROSE FULBRIGHT US LLP  
33 1301 Avenue of the Americas  
34 New York, New York 10019  
35 Telephone: (212) 318-3000  
36 Facsimile: (212) 318-3400

37  
38 *Attorneys for Applicant Qualcomm Incorporated*

1                   **ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO LOCAL CIVIL RULE 5-1(i)(3)**

2                   Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 5-1(i)(3), I certify under penalty of perjury that concurrence in the  
3 filing of this document has been obtained from the signatories above.

4                   \_\_\_\_\_  
5                   */s/ James R. Sigel* \_\_\_\_\_

6                   JAMES R. SIGEL  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1                   **[PROPOSED] STIPULATED ORDER**  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED that the deadline for Apple and the  
Samsung Entities to move to quash or respond to the subpoenas served on them by Which and  
Qualcomm shall be extended to June 20, 2024. The timing and procedures set forth in Federal Rule of  
Civil Procedure 45 shall otherwise apply.

Date: June 5, 2024

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28



HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE